Market Assessment with 50% Renewable Generation

Ethan D. Avallone, Energy Market Design Zachary Stines, Capacity Market Design

Market Issues Working Group

December 5, 2017, Rensselaer NY



Previous Presentations

Date	Working Group	Discussion points and links to materials
9-12-16	Budget & Priorities Working Group (BPWG)	Presentation of stakeholder feedback, proposed scope of the project
10-19-16	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Presentation providing more detail on the scope and timeline of the project
11-22-16	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Presentation updating project status
1-31-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Integrating Public Policy Update (Phases 1 and 2)
2-16-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Phase 2: Study Description and Assumptions Review
3-28-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Phase 2: Study Description and Assumption Update
4-24-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Phase 2: Preliminary DAM Results
6-21-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Phase 2: Real-time Study Description and Assumptions
7-13-17	ICAP Working Group (ICAP WG)	The ICAP Market - Preliminary Findings (Phase 2)
8-22-17	ICAP Working Group (ICAP WG)	IPP Phase 2 Capacity Market Results and background information
8-25-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	IPP Phase 2: Simulation Progress
9-25-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	IPP Phase 2: RT Energy Market Simulation Results
10-3-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	Presentation discussing market assessment paper
10-16-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	IPP Phase 3: Initial Concepts under Consideration
10-27-17	Integrating Public Policy Task Force (IPPTF)	Kick-Off Meeting: Stakeholder Feedback Posted
11-02-17	Market Issues Working Group (MIWG)	IPP Phase 3: Stakeholder Feedback Posted
11-20-17	Integrating Public Policy Task Force (IPPTF)	IPPTF Process and Schedule



Integrating Public Policy Project

Harmonizing Public Policy

Carbon Pricing:

Study whether incorporating a state policy defined cost of carbon in the wholesale market would improve the overall efficiency of the NYISO energy and capacity markets

Market Assessment for Accommodating Public Policy

Market Impact Assessment of 50% Renewable Generation:

Study the impacts of decarbonization goals on the current NYISO energy and capacity markets from the high penetration of low carbon or carbon-free resources

Market Structure Assessment of 50% Renewable Generation:

Study whether other market products or changes to the existing market structure will be necessary to meet the anticipated reliability needs



- The NYISO is considering a broad spectrum of market product and structure enhancements that may be necessary to incent market participants to meet the reliability needs anticipated as a result of NYS policy to adopt 50% renewable generation by 2030.
 - The NYISO has reviewed a number of stakeholder suggestions for market product and/or structure enhancements.



Topic Key

Source	Key
NYISO at October 16, 2017 MIWG	1
NYISO at December 5, 2017 (today's) MIWG	
Energy Storage Association (ESA)	3
Hydro-Quebec Energy Services (U.S.) (HQUS)	4
Independent Power Producers of New York (IPPNY)	5
Key Capture Energy	6
NRG Energy	7
New York Transmission Owners (NYTOs)	8
Rich Felak, Independent Member of End Use Consumer Sector	9



- Potential concepts for new energy market products (based on all feedback received):
 - 1. Separate products for regulation up and regulation down^{1,5}
 - 2. Ramping product to cover forecast error^{1,3,4,5,6,7,8}
 - 3. Down-ramp product⁸
 - 4. Cycling product to provide more frequent starts and stops²
 - 5. Load shift product³



- Potential energy market products or rules that could be modified or enhanced (based on all feedback received):
 - Ancillary Services
 - 1. More dynamic ancillary service requirements and shortage pricing levels^{1,3,6}
 - 2. Evaluate operational needs for reserve, regulation, voltage support, inertia, and/or primary frequency response^{2,3,5,6,7}
 - 3. Consider expected performance when determining reserve market compensation^{3,6,8}



- Potential energy market products or rules that could be modified or enhanced (based on all feedback received):
 - Scheduling
 - 1. More frequent scheduling of transactions^{2,4}
 - 2. Schedule energy, reserves, and regulation on a 15-minute basis in the Day-Ahead^{3,6}
 - 3. Expand the resources eligible to provide black start capability⁶
 - 4. Consider revisions to energy market LBMP based penalties when LBMPs are negative²



- Potential energy market products or rules that could be modified or enhanced (based on all feedback received):
 - Price Formation
 - 1. Revisions to offline fast start pricing^{1,5}
 - 2. Revisions to shortage pricing levels for reserve, regulation, and transmission^{2,3,5,7}
 - 3.LESR payment reforms⁶
 - 4. Consider mechanisms for improved energy market price formation²



- Potential energy market products or rules that could be modified or enhanced (based on all feedback received):
 - Ongoing Efforts
 - 1. Large Scale Solar Integration²
 - 2. Energy Storage Integration and Optimization²



- Potential capacity market aspects that could be evaluated:
 - 1. Capacity supplier obligations²
 - 2. Output duration requirements ^{1,5}
 - 3. Measurement of capacity performance ²
- Potential redesign of capacity market:
 - 1. Forward Capacity Market (FCM) 7,5
- Potential new capacity market product:
 - 1. Ramping capacity product 8



- Potential capacity market rules that could be modified or enhanced:
 - 1. Initial performance factors for new resources¹
 - 2. Treatment of behind-the-meter and front-of-the-meter resources²
 - 3. Treatment of renewables in the determination of capacity requirements²
 - 4. Reliability criteria (i.e., LOLE 0.1)⁹
 - 5. Monetize transmission security⁸



Market Structure Assessment Process

- Feedback regarding Phase 3 of the Integrating Public Policy project has been posted to the 11/2/2017 MIWG meeting materials.
- Promising market concept ideas will be further developed in 2018 as part of the continuation of the Integrating Public Policy project.



Next Steps

- December Release Market Assessment Whitepaper
- December 20, 2017 Discuss Market Assessment Whitepaper



Questions?

We are here to help. Let us know if we can add anything.



The Mission of the New York Independent System Operator, in collaboration with its stakeholders, is to serve the public interest and provide benefits to consumers by:

- Maintaining and enhancing regional reliability
- Operating open, fair and competitive wholesale electricity markets
- Planning the power system for the future
- Providing factual information to policy makers, stakeholders and investors in the power system



www.nyiso.com

